



# The Security Risks of Voice Recognition Technology: Why Human Transcription Remains the Safer Choice

## Executive Summary

Voice recognition technologies have become a popular tool for converting speech to text quickly and at scale. However, their convenience often comes at the cost of data security, user privacy, and reliability. This white paper explores the major security vulnerabilities of voice recognition platforms and makes the case for secure, human-based transcription services as a safer alternative — especially in sensitive industries like legal, law enforcement, healthcare, and government.

## 1. Voice Data and Privacy Concerns

Voice recognition platforms typically require audio to be uploaded, processed, and stored — often in cloud environments — increasing the potential for data breaches or unauthorized access. Major tech providers may retain voice data for training AI models, which can expose sensitive or privileged information.

A 2022 Politico article highlighted the troubling privacy practices of the popular AI transcription app Otter.ai, noting how journalists' conversations and interviews were being uploaded and stored without explicit understanding of how the data would be used or protected (Samuel, 2022). Many AI services fail to clearly disclose their data handling practices, raising red flags for compliance with HIPAA, GDPR, and other privacy regulations.

Source: Samuel, A. (2022). My journey down the rabbit hole of every journalist's favorite app. Politico. <https://www.politico.com/news/2022/02/16/my-journey-down-the-rabbit-hole-of-every-journalists-favorite-app-00009216>

## 2. Vulnerability to Hacking and Data Leaks

AI transcription platforms often store user data in centralized servers that become attractive targets for cyberattacks. In 2019, security researchers found over 7,000 hours of sensitive medical transcriptions exposed due to misconfigured AWS storage by an AI vendor (TechCrunch, 2019). Incidents like these demonstrate the risks of using automated platforms without robust security infrastructure or oversight.

Additionally, automated platforms that integrate with smartphones, smart speakers, or virtual assistants introduce other attack vectors — like unauthorized voice activation, spoofing, or audio injection — which can lead to unintended data capture.

Source: Whittaker, Z. (2019). Thousands of medical records exposed after transcription



service breach. TechCrunch. <https://techcrunch.com/2019/07/18/medical-records-exposed-transcription/>

### 3. Consent and Ethical Risks in AI Voice Processing

Voice recognition tools can transcribe multiple speakers without proper consent or notification — creating legal risk. Human transcription services, by contrast, often follow strict protocols to ensure compliance and informed consent.

Moreover, many AI tools cannot differentiate between privileged and non-privileged speech, putting confidential communications — such as between attorneys and clients — at significant risk.

Source: Mozilla Foundation. (2020). Privacy Not Included: Voice Assistants. <https://foundation.mozilla.org/en/privacynotincluded/voice-assistants/>

### 4. The Case for Human Transcription

Human transcription services — especially those like SpeakWrite that are U.S.-based, fully secure, and follow strict compliance protocols — offer several advantages:

- Confidentiality: Professional transcriptionists sign NDAs and follow secure workflows.
- Accuracy: Humans can detect nuances, accents, and context far better than AI.
- Control: Files are not retained or used to train algorithms.
- Compliance: SpeakWrite adheres to CJIS, HIPAA, and SOC2 requirements.

### Conclusion

While AI voice recognition offers speed and scalability, the associated privacy, security, and ethical risks make it unsuitable for use with sensitive or regulated information. Human-based transcription services remain the gold standard for industries that demand trust, accuracy, and compliance.

### References

1. Samuel, A. (2022). My journey down the rabbit hole of every journalist's favorite app. Politico.
2. Whittaker, Z. (2019). Thousands of medical records exposed after transcription service breach. TechCrunch.
3. Mozilla Foundation. (2020). Privacy Not Included: Voice Assistants.
4. Dastin, J. (2019). Amazon workers listen to Alexa users. Reuters. <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-amazon-com-privacy-idUSKCN1RN2YK>